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## INTRODUCTION

As is well known Newton's equations do not depend on the inertial frame chosen. A geometric formulation of Newtonian mechanics in Newtonian space--time is therefore possible. On the other hand intrinsic formulation of analytical mechanics in Newtonian space-time is not possible since different Lagrangians and different Hamiltonians are used for different inertial frames. The same is true of the Hamilton-Jacobi theory and Schrödinger wave mechanics. A similar difficulty encountered in analytical mechanics of charged particles was resolved by enlarging the configuration manifold of the particle [6]. The same technique is used in the present note. An intrinsic formulation of analytical mechanics and wave mechanics is obtained. Conventional formulations are obtained by reducing the dimension in a frame dependent way. This work is related to the papers of Bergmann [1], Lévy-Leblond [5] and Duval and Künzle [4].

The present paper is a contribution to a programme of Symplectic Geometry and Mathematical Physics conducted jointly with Professor Benenti at Istituto di Fisica Matematica «J.-L. Lagrange» in Torino. This programme enjoyes the continued interest and encouragement by the Director of the Institute Professor Dionigi Galletto and the support of the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Gruppo Nazionale per la Fisica Matematica.

## 1. AFFINE SPACES AND AFFINE TRANSFORMATIONS

An affine space is a triple $(M, V, \rho)$, where $M$ is a set, $V$ is a vector space, $\rho$ is
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a mapping of $M \times M$ in $V$ and the following conditions are satisfied:
(a) $\rho(x, x)=0$,
(b) $\rho\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime}\right)+\rho\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)=\rho\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x\right)$,
(c) for each $x$ the mapping $\rho_{x}: M \rightarrow V$ defined by $\rho_{x}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\rho\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)$ is bijective. We will write $x^{\prime}-x$ instead of $\rho\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)$ and $x+v$ instead of $\rho_{x}^{-1}(v)$.

Automorphisms of an affine space are called affine transformations. More specifically, a bijective mapping $\alpha: M \rightarrow M$ is an affine transformation if there exists a linear transformation $a: V \rightarrow V$ such that $\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\alpha(x)=a\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)$. The linear transformation $a$ is called the linear part of the affine transformation $\alpha$. An affine transformation is called a translation if its linear part is the identity mapping. The group of affine transformations of $M$ will be denoted by $A(M)$ and the group of linear transformations of $V$ will be denoted by $G L(V)$. For each point $x$ in $M$ there is a bijective relation between elements of $A(M)$ and $G L(V) \times$ $\times V$. The affine transformation $\alpha=(a, c)_{x}$ corresponding to the pair $(a, c)$ is defined by

$$
\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x+a\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)+c .
$$

The linear transformation $a$ is the linear part of the affine transformation $\alpha=$ $=(a, c)_{x}$ and the vector $c$ is obtained from

$$
c=\alpha(x)-x
$$

The equality

$$
\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)_{x^{\prime}}=(a, c)_{x}
$$

implies

$$
a^{\prime}=a, \quad c^{\prime}=c \nLeftarrow a\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)-\left(x^{\prime}-x\right) .
$$

The composition relation

$$
\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)_{x} \circ(a, c)_{x}=\left(a^{\prime} \circ a, a^{\prime}(c)+c^{\prime}\right)_{x}
$$

is easily verified.

## 2. THE NEWTONIAN SPACE-TIME AND GALILEI TRANSFORMATIONS

Let $(M, V, \rho)$ be an affine space of dimension 4 representing the physical space--time of nonrelativistic physics. Let $\tau$ be an element of the space $V^{*}$ dual to $V$. The set

$$
T_{\mathrm{o}}=\{v \in V ;\langle v, \tau\rangle=0\}
$$

is a vector subspace of $V$ and

$$
T_{1}=\{v \in V ;\langle v, \tau\rangle=1\}
$$

is an affine subspace of $V$. If $\theta: T_{1} \times T_{1} \rightarrow T_{0}$ is defined by $\theta\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)=v^{\prime}-v$ then ( $T_{1}, T_{0}, \theta$ ) is an affine space. Let $g: T_{0} \rightarrow T_{0}^{*}$ be an Euclidean metric tensor on $T_{0}$. The objects $\tau$ and $g$ are used to measure time intervals and spacial distances. The time $\Delta t$ elapsed between two events $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ in $M$ is expressed by

$$
\Delta t=\left\langle x^{\prime}-x, \tau\right\rangle
$$

The two events are simultaneous if $\left\langle x^{\prime}-x, \tau\right\rangle=0$. The distance $d$ between simultaneous events $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ is calculated from

$$
d^{2}=\left\langle x^{\prime}-x, g\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)\right\rangle .
$$

The system ( $M, V, \rho, \tau, g$ ) is called the Newtonian space-time. An inertial frame in the Newtonian space-time is an element $u$ of the affine subspace $T_{1}$.

Automorphisms of the Newtonian space-time are called Galilei transformations. The group of Galilei transformations will be denoted by $G A(M)$. A Galilei transformation is an affine transformation $\alpha$ of $M$ whose linear part $a$ is an automorphism of the system ( $V, \tau, g$ ). Restricted to $T_{0}$ the linear transformation $a$ induces a linear transformation $a_{0}$ of $T_{0}$. This transformation belongs to the group $O\left(T_{0}\right)$ of automorphisms of $\left(T_{0}, g\right)$ called orthogonal transformations. Restricted to $T_{1}$ the transformation a induces an affine transformation $a_{1}$ of $T_{1}$. The orthogonal transformation $a_{0}$ is the linear part of $a_{1}$. The linear transformation $a$ is completely determined by the affine transformation $a_{1}$. It $u$ is any inertial frame then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(v) & =a(v+(1-\langle v, \tau\rangle) u)-(1-\langle v, \tau\rangle) a(u)= \\
& =a_{1}(v+(1-\langle v, \tau\rangle) u)-(1-\langle v, \tau\rangle) a_{1}(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that if an inertial frame $u$ is chosen then elements of the group $G A(V)$ of automorphisms of $(V, \tau, g)$ are in one to one correspondence with elements of $O\left(T_{0}\right) \times T_{0}$. We will denote by $\left(a_{0}, b\right)_{u}$ the automorphism corresponding to the pair $\left(a_{0}, b\right)$. If $a=\left(a_{0}, b\right)_{u}$ then $a_{0}$ is the orthogonal transformation of $T_{0}$ induced by $a$ and $b=a(u)-u$. Hence,

$$
a(v)=a_{0}(v-\langle v, \tau\rangle u)+\langle v, \tau\rangle(u+b)
$$

The equality

$$
\left(a_{0}^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)_{u^{\prime}}=\left(a_{0}, b\right)_{u}
$$

implies

$$
a_{0}^{\prime}=a_{0}, \quad b^{\prime}=b+a_{0}\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)-u^{\prime}+u
$$

If $a=\left(a_{0}, b\right)_{u}$ and $a^{\prime}=\left(a_{0}^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)_{u}$ then

$$
a^{\prime} \circ a=\left(a_{0}^{\prime} \circ a_{0}, a_{0}^{\prime}(b)+b^{\prime}\right)_{u}
$$

A choice of an event in $M$ introduces a one to one correspondence between Galilei transformations and elements of $G A(V) \times V$. If an inertial frame is chosen then elements of $G A(V)$ are in one to one correspondence with elements of $O\left(T_{0}\right) \times T_{0}$. If both an event $x$ and an inertial frame $u$ are chosen then we have a bijective relation between Galilei transformations and elements of $O\left(T_{0}\right) \times T_{0} \times V$. We will denote by $\left(a_{0}, b, c\right)_{(x, u)}$ the Galilei transformation $\left(\left(a_{0}, b\right)_{u}, c\right)_{x}$ corresponding to the triple ( $a_{0}, b, c$ ). If $\alpha=\left(a_{0}, b, c\right)_{(x, u)}$ then

$$
\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x+\left(a_{0}\left(\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)-\left\langle x^{\prime}-x, \tau\right\rangle u\right)+\left\langle x^{\prime}-x, \tau\right\rangle(u+b)+c\right) .
$$

The equality

$$
\left(a_{0}^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)_{\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)}=\left(a_{0}, b, c\right)_{(x, u)}
$$

implies

$$
a_{0}^{\prime}=a_{0}, \quad b^{\prime}=b+a_{0}\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)-u^{\prime}+u
$$

and

$$
c^{\prime}=c+a_{0}\left(\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)-\left\langle x^{\prime}-x, \tau\right\rangle u\right)+\left\langle x^{\prime}-x, \tau\right\rangle(u+b)-\left(x^{\prime}-x\right) .
$$

If $\alpha^{\prime}=\left(a_{0}^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)_{(x, u)}$ and $\alpha=\left(a_{0}, b, c\right)_{(x, u)}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{\prime} \circ \alpha= & \left(a_{0}^{\prime} \circ a_{0}, a_{0}^{\prime}(b)+b^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.a_{0}^{\prime}(c-\langle c, \tau\rangle u)+\langle c, \tau\rangle(u+b)+c^{\prime}\right)_{(x, u)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. NEWTONIAN MECHANICS

Newton's equations of motion are second order differential equations in the Newtonian space-time. Since $M$ is an affine space the tangent bundle $T M$ is identified with $M \times V$ and the second tangent bundle $T^{2} M$ is identified with $M \times V \times V$. The cotangent bundle $T^{*} M$ is identified with $M \times V^{*}$. The differential of a differentiable function $U: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be interpreted as a mapping $d U: M \rightarrow V^{*}$. Let $\iota: T_{0} \rightarrow V$ denote the canonical injection. A degenerate contravariant metric tensor $g^{\prime}: V^{*} \rightarrow V$ is defined by $g^{\prime}=\iota \circ g^{-1} \circ \iota^{*}$. The dynamics of a particle of mass $m$ is described by the set

$$
E=\left\{(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) \in T^{2} M ;\langle\dot{x}, \tau\rangle=1, m \ddot{x}=-g^{\prime}(d U(x))\right\}
$$

where $U$ is the potential energy of the particle.

## 4. INERTIAL FRAMES AND ANALYTICAL MECHANICS

Analytical mechanics of a particle is described by first order differential equations in the space-time-momentum-energy space $T^{*} M$ identified with $M \times V^{*}$. An element $p$ of $V^{*}$ represents momentum and energy of a particle. If $u$ is an inertial frame then $p-\langle u, p\rangle \tau$ is the momentum and $e=-\langle u, p\rangle$ is the energy. The tangent bundle $T T^{*} M$ is identified with $M \times V^{*} \times V \times V^{*}$. In an inertial frame $u$ the dynamics of a particle is represented by a submanifold $D$ of $T T^{*} M$. An element ( $x, p, x^{\prime}, p^{\prime}$ ) of $T T^{*} M$ belongs to $D$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime}=\left\langle x^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle\left(u+\frac{1}{m} g^{\prime}(p)\right), \\
& p^{\prime}=-\left\langle x^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle d U(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\langle u, p\rangle+\frac{1}{2 m}\left\langle g^{\prime}(p), p\right\rangle+U(x)=0 .
$$

The submanifold $D$ is a homogenous Hamiltonian system [3] generated by a submanifold $K$ of $T^{*} M$. An element ( $x, p$ ) of $T^{*} M$ belongs to $K$ if

$$
\langle u, p\rangle+\frac{1}{2 m}\left\langle g^{\prime}(p), p\right\rangle+U(x)=0 .
$$

The tangent bundle $T M$ is identified with $M \times V$. The homogenous Lagrangian for the system $D$ is the function $L: T M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
L\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{m}{2\left\langle x^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle}\left\langle x^{\prime}-\left\langle x^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle u, g\left(x^{\prime}-\left\langle x^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle u\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle x^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle U(x)
$$

It is easily seen that submanifolds $D$ corresponding to different inertial frames $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ are different. It is not possible to formulate analytical mechanics in Newtonian space-time in a frame independent way. A frame independent formulation of analytical mechanics is possible in an enlarged space called the Galilei space.
5. HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS IN THE NEWTONIAN SPACE-TIME

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation in an inertial frame $u$ is the equation

$$
\langle u, d S\rangle+\frac{1}{2 m}\left\langle g^{\prime} \circ d S, d S\right\rangle+U=0
$$

for a function $S: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
The Hamilton-Jacobi theory in the Newtonian space-time is frame dependent. An intrinsic Hamilton-Jacobi theory can be formulated in the Galilei space.

## 6. INERTIAL FRAMES AND SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

Let $u$ be an inertial frame. The wave function $\psi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of a particle of mass $m$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$
i \hbar\langle u, d \psi\rangle+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta_{g^{\prime}} \psi-U \psi=0 .
$$

It is easily seen that a solution $\psi$ of the Schrödinger equation in one inertial frame $u$ will not in general satisfy the Schrödinger equation in a different inertial frame $u^{\prime}$. The same quantum state of a particle must be represented by different wave functions in reference to different inertial frames. An intrinsic formulation of Schrödinger wave mechanics in Newtonian space-time is not possible. A frame independent formulation of wave mechanics in the Galilei space is described in Section 10. Conventional Schrödinger equations are obtained by frame dependent reductions.

## 7. THE GALILEI SPACE AND GALILEI TRANSFORMATIONS

The Galilei space is a system $(N, W, \sigma, \bar{g}, z)$, where $(N, W, \sigma)$ is an affine space of dimension $5, \bar{g}: W \rightarrow W^{*}$ is a metric tensor of signature 3 and $z$ is a vector in $W$ such that $\langle z, \bar{g}(z)\rangle=0$.

Let $Z \subset W$ be the space of vectors proportional to $z$, let $V$ denote the quotient space of $W$ by $Z$ and let $\chi: W \rightarrow V$ be the canonical projection. There is a unique element $\tau$ of $V^{*}$ such that

$$
\langle\chi(w), \tau\rangle=\langle w, \bar{g}(z)\rangle .
$$

In the space $T_{0}=\{v \in V ;\langle v, \tau\rangle=0\}$ there is a unique metric tensor $g: T_{0} \rightarrow T_{0}^{*}$ such that

$$
\left\langle\chi\left(w^{\prime}\right), g(\chi(w))\right\rangle=\left\langle w^{\prime}, \bar{g}(w)\right\rangle
$$

if $\langle w, \bar{g}(z)\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle w^{\prime}, \bar{g}(z)\right\rangle=0$. Let $M$ denote the quotient space of $N$ by the equivalence relation according to which two points $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ are equivalent
if $\sigma\left(y^{\prime}, y\right)$ belongs to $Z$. Let $\mu: N \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection. There is a unique mapping $\rho: M \times M \rightarrow V$ such that

$$
\rho\left(\mu\left(y^{\prime}\right), \mu(y)\right)=\chi\left(\sigma\left(y^{\prime}, y\right)\right)
$$

The system $(M, V, \rho, \tau, g)$ is the Newtonian space-time. We will write $y^{\prime}-y$, $y+w, x^{\prime}-x$ and $x+v$ instead of $\sigma\left(y^{\prime}, y\right), \sigma_{y}^{-1}(w), \rho\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)$ and $\rho_{x}^{-1}(v)$ respectively.

An inertial frame in the Galilei space is a vector $\bar{u}$ in $W$ such that $\langle\bar{u}, \bar{g}(\bar{u})\rangle=0$ and $\langle\bar{u}, \bar{g}(z)\rangle=1$. The vector $u=\chi(\bar{u})$ is an inertial frame in the Newtonian space-time.

Automorphisms of the Galilei space will be called extended Galilei transformations. An extended Galilei transformation is an affine transformation $\bar{\alpha}: N \rightarrow N$ whose linear part $\bar{a}: W \rightarrow W$ is an automorphism of $(W, \bar{g}, z)$. The group of extended Galilei transformations will be denoted by $G A(N)$ and the group of automorphisms of ( $W, \bar{g}, z$ ) will be denoted by $G A(W)$. If $\bar{a}$ is an element of $G A(W)$ then there exists an element $a$ of $G A(V)$ such that $\chi \circ \bar{a}=a \circ \chi$. Let $\bar{u}$ be an inertial frame. The space

$$
U=\{w \in W ;(w, \bar{g}(\bar{u})\rangle=0\}
$$

is a complement of $Z$ in $W$. It follows that there exists a unique mapping $\kappa_{u}: V \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow W$ such that $\chi\left(\kappa_{u}(v)\right)=v$ and $\left\langle\kappa_{u}(v), \bar{g}(\bar{u})\right\rangle=0$. If $a=\left(a_{0}, b\right)_{u}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}(w) & =\kappa_{u}\left(a_{0}(\chi(w-\langle w, \bar{g}(z)\rangle \bar{u}))\right)+ \\
& +\langle w, \bar{g}(z)\rangle \kappa_{u}(b)+\langle w, \bar{g}(z)\rangle \bar{u}+ \\
& -\left\langle a_{0}(\chi(w-\langle w, \bar{g}(z)\rangle \bar{u})), g(b)\right\rangle z+ \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\langle b, g(b)\rangle\langle w, \bar{g}(z)\rangle z+\langle w, \bar{g}(\bar{u})\rangle z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\bar{a}$ is completely determined by $a$ it follows that $G A(W)$ and $G A(V)$ are two canonically isomorphic faithful representations of the same abstract group. The choice of a point $y$ in $N$ establishes a one to one relation between extended Galilei transformations and the elements of $G A(W) \times W$. If $\bar{\alpha}=(\bar{a}, \bar{c})_{y}$ then

$$
\bar{\alpha}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=y+\bar{a}\left(y^{\prime}-y\right)+\bar{c} .
$$

## 8. ANALYTICAL MECHANICS IN THE GALILEI SPACE

Spaces $T N, T^{*} N$ and $T T^{*} N$ are identified with $N \times W, N \times W^{*}$ and $N \times W^{*} \times$ $\times W \times W^{*}$ respectively. We will denote by $\bar{g}^{\prime}: W^{*} \rightarrow W$ the inverse of $\bar{g}$. The
dynamics of a particle is described by a submanifold $\bar{D}$ of $T T^{*} N$. An element ( $y, r, y^{\prime}, r^{\prime}$ ) belongs to $\bar{D}$ if

$$
y^{\prime}=\frac{1}{m}\left\langle y^{\prime}, \bar{g}(z)\right\rangle \bar{g}^{\prime}(r)+\left\{\frac{\left\langle y^{\prime}, \bar{g}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle}{2\left\langle y^{\prime}, \bar{g}(z)\right\rangle}+\frac{1}{m}\left\langle y^{\prime}, \bar{g}(z)\right\rangle \bar{U}(y)\right\} z
$$

and

$$
r^{\prime}=-\left\langle y^{\prime}, \bar{g}(z)\right\rangle d \bar{U}(y)
$$

where $\bar{U}=U \circ \mu$. The submanifold $\bar{D}$ is a homogenous Hamiltonian system generated by a submanifold $\bar{K}$ of $T^{*} N$. An element ( $y, r$ ) of $T^{*} N$ belongs to $\bar{K}$ if

$$
\frac{1}{2 m}\left\langle\bar{g}^{\prime}(r), r\right\rangle+\bar{U}(y)=0
$$

and

$$
\langle z, r\rangle=m .
$$

The homogenous Lagrangian for this system is the function $\bar{L}: T N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\bar{L}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{m}{2\left\langle y^{\prime}, \bar{g}(z)\right\rangle}\left\langle y^{\prime}, \bar{g}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle y^{\prime}, \bar{g}(z)\right\rangle \vec{U}(y) .
$$

## 9. THE HAMILTON -JACOBI THEORY IN THE GALILEI SPACE

The Hamilton-Jacobi theory in the Galilei space is based on the following two equations:

$$
\frac{1}{2 m}\left\langle\bar{g}^{\prime} \circ d F, d F\right\rangle+\bar{U}=0
$$

and

$$
\langle z, d F\rangle=m
$$

for a function $F: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

## 10. WAVE MECHANICS IN THE GALILEI SPACE

Quantum states of a particle of mass $m$ in the Galilei space are represented by wave functions $\phi: N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying wave equations

$$
\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \triangle_{\bar{g}^{\prime}} \phi-\bar{U} \phi=0
$$

and

$$
i \hbar\langle z, \mathrm{~d} \phi\rangle+m \phi=0,
$$

where $\bar{U}=U \circ \mu$.

## 11. INERTIAL FRAMES AND REDUCTIONS IN ANALYTICAL MECHANICS

Constructions used in the present section are based on the theory of reductions of symplectic manifolds [2] adapted to the affine case.

Let $C_{0}$ and $C_{m}$ be subspaces of $W^{*}$ defined by

$$
C_{0}=\left\{r \in W^{*} ;\langle r, z\rangle=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
C_{m}=\left\{r \in W^{*} ;\langle r, z\rangle=m\right\} .
$$

The space $C_{0}$ is the polar of $Z$. It is also the image of $\chi^{*}$. If a mapping $\omega: C_{m} \times$ $\times C_{m} \rightarrow C_{0}$ is defined by $\omega\left(r^{\prime}, r\right)=r^{\prime}-r$ then $\left(C_{m}, C_{0}, \omega\right)$ is an affine space. The space $N \times C_{m}$ is a coisotropic subspace of the symplectic affine space $T^{*} N=N \times$ $\times W^{*}$. The reduced symplectic space is the affine space $M \times C_{m}$. The mapping $\mu \times$ $\times$ id : $N \times C_{m} \rightarrow M \times C_{m}$ will be denoted by $\nu$. The space $T\left(N \times C_{m}\right)=N \times C_{m} \times$ $\times W \times C_{0}$ is a coisotropic subspace of the affine symplectic space $T T^{*} N=N \times$ $\times W^{*} \times W \times W^{*}$. The reduced symplectic space is the space $T\left(M \times C_{m}\right)=M \times$ $\times C_{m} \times V \times C_{0}$. The mapping $\mu \times$ id $\times \chi \times$ id : $N \times C_{m} \times W \times C_{0} \rightarrow M \times C_{m} \times V \times C_{0}$ will be denoted by $T \nu$.

Reducing the Hamiltonion system $\bar{D}$ we obtain the submanifold

$$
D^{r}=T \nu\left(\bar{D} \cap\left(M \times C_{m} \times V \times C_{0}\right)\right)
$$

An element $\left(x, r, x^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)$ of $M \times C_{m} \times V \times C_{0}$ is in $D^{r}$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{\prime}=-\left\langle x^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle \chi^{*}(d U(x)), \\
& x^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle x^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle \chi\left(\bar{g}^{\prime}(r)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{2 m}\left\langle\bar{g}^{\prime}(r), r\right\rangle+U(x)=0 .
$$

The submanifold $D^{r}$ is a homogenous Hamiltonian system generated by the submanifold

$$
K^{r}=\nu\left(\bar{K} \cap\left(M \times C_{m}\right)\right)
$$

An element $(x, r)$ of $M \times C_{m}$ is in $K^{r}$ if

$$
\frac{1}{2 m}\left\langle\bar{g}^{\prime}(r), r\right\rangle+U(x)=0 .
$$

The Hamiltonian system $D^{r}$ is not generated by a Lagrangian.
Let $\bar{u}$ be an inertial frame. The mapping $\kappa_{u}: W^{*} \rightarrow V^{*}$ restricted to $C_{m}$ is bijective. Mappings

$$
\lambda_{u}=\operatorname{id} \times \kappa_{u}^{*} \mid C_{m}: M \times C_{m} \rightarrow T^{*} M
$$

and

$$
T \lambda_{u}=\operatorname{id} \times \kappa_{u}^{*}\left|C_{m} \times \operatorname{id} \times \kappa_{u}^{*}\right| C_{0}: M \times C_{m} \times V \times C_{0} \rightarrow T T^{*} M
$$

are symplectomorphisms. The submanifold $D=T \lambda_{u}\left(D^{r}\right)$ is the homogenous Hamiltonian system described in Section 4. It is generated by the submanifold $K=\lambda_{u}\left(K^{r}\right)$ and also by the homogenous Lagrangian $L$ defined in Section 4.

Each of the objects $\bar{D}, \bar{K}, \bar{L}, D^{r}$ and $K^{r}$ provides an intrinsic representation of dynamics. Dynamics is also represented by equivalence classes of pairs ( $D, u$ ), $(K, u)$ or $(L, u)$. If two pairs $\left(D^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ and $(D, u)$ are equivalent then

$$
D^{\prime}=(\mathrm{id} \times \eta \times \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id})(D),
$$

where the mapping $\eta: V^{*} \rightarrow V_{*}^{*}$ is characterized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle v, \eta(p)\rangle=\langle v, p\rangle & -m\left\langle v-\langle v, \tau\rangle u, g\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)\right\rangle+ \\
& +\frac{m}{2}\langle v, \tau\rangle\left\langle u^{\prime}-u, g\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

If pairs ( $K^{\prime}, u^{\prime}$ ) and ( $K, u$ ) are equivalent then

$$
K^{\prime}=(\mathrm{id} \times \eta)(K)
$$

and if pairs $\left(L^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ and $(L, u)$ are equivalent then

$$
L^{\prime}=L \circ(\mathrm{id} \times \xi)
$$

where the mapping $\xi: V \rightarrow V$ is defined by

$$
\xi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}-\left\langle x^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)
$$

## 12. FRAME DEPENDENT REDUCTIONS IN THE HAMILTON-JACOBI THEORY

Let $F$ be a function satisfying the Hamilton-Jacobi equations in the Galilei space. Let $\bar{u}$ be an inertial frame and let $y$ be a point in $N$. The function $\bar{F}: N \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\bar{F}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=F\left(y^{\prime}\right)-m\left\langle y^{\prime}-y, \bar{g}(\bar{u})\right\rangle
$$

satisfies the condition

$$
\langle z, d \bar{F}\rangle=0 .
$$

It follows that there is a unique function $S: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\bar{F}=S \circ \mu
$$

The function $S$ satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\langle u, d S\rangle+\frac{1}{2 m}\left\langle g^{\prime} \circ d S, d S\right\rangle+U=0
$$

Each solution $F$ of the intrinsic Hamilton-Jacobi equations can be represented by an equivalence class of triples ( $S, u, y$ ), where $u$ is an inertial frame, $y$ is a point in $N$ and $S$ is a solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation corresponding to $u$. Two triples ( $S^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) and ( $S, u, y$ ) are equivalent if

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime} & =S-\left\langle\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x\right)-c-\left\langle\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x\right)-c, \tau\right\rangle u, g(b)\right\rangle+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x\right)-c, \tau\right\rangle\langle b, g(b)\rangle+\langle\bar{c}, \bar{g}(\bar{u})\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x=\mu(y), \quad \bar{c}=y^{\prime}-y, \quad c=\chi(\bar{c})$ and $b=\chi\left(\bar{u}^{\prime}-u\right)$.

## 13. REDUCTIONS IN WAVE MECHANICS

Let $\phi$ be a wave function satisfying the wave equations in the Galilei space. Let $\bar{u}$ be an inertial frame and let $y$ be a point in $N$. The function $\bar{\phi}$ defined on $N$ by

$$
\bar{\phi}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(-i \frac{m}{\hbar}\left\langle y^{\prime}-y, \bar{g}(\bar{u})\right\rangle\right) \phi\left(y^{\prime}\right)
$$

satisfies the equation

$$
\langle z, \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}\rangle=0 .
$$

Consequently there is a unique function $\psi$ on $M$ such that

$$
\bar{\phi}=\psi \circ \mu .
$$

It easily shown that $\psi$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$
i \hbar(u, \mathrm{~d} \psi\rangle+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta_{g^{\prime}} \psi-U \psi=0
$$

A quantum state is represented either by the wave function $\phi$ or by an equivalence class or triples ( $\psi, u, y$ ), where $u$ is an inertial frame, $y$ is a point in $N$ and $\psi$ is a solution of the Schrödinger equation corresponding to $u$. Equivalent triples ( $\psi^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) and ( $\psi, u, y$ ) are related by

$$
\psi^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\exp \left(i \frac{m}{\pi} \theta\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x, b, \bar{c}\right)\right) \psi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x, b, \bar{c}\right) & =-\left\langle\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x\right)-c-\left\langle\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x\right)-c, \tau\right\rangle u, g(b)\right\rangle+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x\right)-c, \tau\right\rangle\langle b, g(b)\rangle+\langle\bar{c}, \bar{g}(\bar{u})\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and $x=\mu(y), \quad \bar{c}=y^{\prime}-y, \quad c=\chi(\bar{c}), \quad b=\chi\left(\bar{u}^{\prime}-u\right)$.
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